To: Nguyen, Duc[nguyen.duc@epa.gov]

From: Williams, Laura

Sent: Tue 9/29/2015 7:36:20 PM

Subject: Re: Funding to be put on ERRS for GKM

I can wait until Monday. I'm just trying to stave off the vultures who keep nipping at the Gold King money (for cooperative agreements). Most of it is now obligated so they shouldn't be too focused on the money I'm holding onto for USCG. Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 29, 2015, at 12:40 PM, Nguyen, Duc < nguyen.duc@epa.gov > wrote:

Hi Laura,

Can I add \$136K into USCG IAG next week (10/5) or you want it done sooner?

I will be back on Monday for stand by duty.

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2015, at 2:24 PM, Williams, Laura < williams.laura@epa.gov > wrote:

Joni, et al:

I'd like to get as much of the mine site stabilization needs funded as possible. I recognize that there are entities wanting Cooperative Agreements for their response work but their deadline is October 15th to submit their applications. I'd rather fund them with FY'16 dollars whenever we get their applications approved. I believe that START is sufficiently funded for both the Durango response sampling (\$1m)as well as the Long-term Monitoring Strategy \$1m).

Joni - For ERRS: We just put \$200K out of the FY'14 funds into a TO for Congressional testimony preparation. We need to put the remaining \$271,409.96 of the FY'14 funds onto the ERRS contract (My plan is to use this for the existing TO for GKM minesite stabilization, but we can hold off putting it into the TO until Steve Way indicates he needs the funds).

From the \$6 million we received on 9/14/15: I'd like to obligate \$2,414,710 to ERRS (again, this is expected to be used for GKM minesite stabilization, but

we can hold off putting it into the TO until Steve Way indicates he needs the funds).

Duc - For the USCG: We projected that we'll need \$136,290 to be added to the IA for minesite stabilization. If you could please add that, I would appreciate it.

Thank you, lw

<GKM Funding Needs.docx>